Diagnosis and Proper Treatment of Gastrointestinal Disease in Primary Care

Zahra Ali Almunian

Abstract: The main goal of our review was to discuss the roles of family physicians in management of Gastrointestinal (GI) diseases, we intended to review the management of the most common malignant and benigh GI disorders. Literature review was performed using biomedical databases; Medline, and Embase, and Google scholar. We search English published studies concerning management of Gastrointestinal (GI) diseases in family practice up to August, 2017. It is well known that GI conditions prevail as a whole practice, as well as the PCP has a central function in the medical diagnosis and also the management of those issues in the primary care setting. Although nationwide as well as worldwide proper evidence-based criteria are available, a significant variety of PCPs does not consistently follow them. This evaluation shows a wide range of understanding and application of guidelines worrying GI disorders amongst PCPs worldwide. Management of GERD could include a way of living modification, clinical therapy and also medical treatment. The way of life adjustments including fat burning and/or head of a bed altitude have been revealed to improve esophageal pH and/or GERD signs. IBD is a challenging illness, as well as very early diagnosis, represents a real difficulty for PCPs. Tracking patients with IBD is also an important function thought by PCPs. In order to react appropriately to these difficulties, PCPs are invited to show their analytical skills in the very early discovery of regressions, their ability to supply constant sustaining therapies, as well as their ability to collaborate patient care as given by a multidisciplinary group.

Keywords: Diagnosis, Gastrointestinal Disease, Proper Treatment, PCPs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal (GI) diseases are a resource of significant morbidity, death, usual problems of the GI system, consisting of irritable bowel syndrome, gastroesophageal reflux condition, liver disease, colon cancer cells, dyspepsia as well as peptic ulcer condition, and also other less usual GI illness represent about 50 million visits per year to family doctors learnt conventional allopathic or osteopathic medication in United states ^(1,2). Additionally, it is estimated that every year irritable bowel syndrome accounts for about 12% of all health care visits ⁽²⁾. In the present health care sector, the medical care medical professional has actually significantly represented medical care giver for patients with most of the most usual intestinal ailments ⁽³⁾.

GI disorders prevail as a whole technique, making up about 10% of the job of general practitioners ⁽⁴⁾. In the age of rapid modification in the healthcare environment as well as with a focus on expense containment, primary care physicians (PCPs) deal with specific obstacles in their administration, as an example, of the cost effective therapy of dyspepsia and also gastroesophageal reflux, the competent evaluation of GI symptoms, and the demand for very early discovery of cancer cells. The close connections that digestion problems have with way of life practices, and their massive impact on top quality of life, calls for an all-natural strategy with attention not only to organic yet additionally to psychosocial facets of GI conditions ⁽⁵⁾.

During the last couple of years, the duty of PCPs in the medical diagnosis as well as management of GI problems has actually been recognized as extremely important, and also it has actually been recommended that they have all the offered resources in order to ensure high requirement of care for their patients. In particular, plainly articulated professional method guidelines, effective medicines, exact noninvasive investigations, and evidence-based medical care management strategies are offered to support PCPs who want to increase their limit for referring patients with GI signs and symptoms (6)

The main goal of our review was to discuss the roles of family physicians in management of Gastrointestinal (GI) diseases, we intended to review the management of the most common malignant and benign GI disorders.

Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (30-37), Month: October 2017 – March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

2. METHODOLOGY

Literature review was performed using biomedical databases; Medline, and Embase, and Google scholar. We search English published studies concerning management of Gastrointestinal (GI) diseases in family practice up to August, 2017. More relevant studies were retracted through screening references list of found articles.

3. DISCUSSION

• Most common GI diseases and roles of family physicians in management of these diseases (malignant and benign disorders):

a) Colorectal cancer:

Colorectal cancer (CRC) stands for one of one of the most important malignant digestion illness harboring substantial morbidity as well as death. Intestines cancer cells testing (CRCS) is suggested by lots of companies, including the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), the American College of Gastroenterology, the American Cancer Society, the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer, the American College of Radiology, as well as the American College of Physicians ^(7,8). Despite close to global endorsement, at the very least 40% of eligible individuals have not been properly evaluated for intestines cancer ⁽⁹⁾.

A doctor's recommendation has actually been associated with boosted invoice of CRCS ^(10,11). Countless studies relating to approaches to boost CRCS rates, such as doctor triggers, patient suggestion or radar, performance responses reports, patient education media, choice aids, group education, as well as individually communications with wellness educators or a nurse, have been released. Nevertheless, reasonably couple of published research studies explain what methods have been taken on by medical care medical professionals (PCPs) and whether they are effective ⁽¹²⁾. A Wisconsin study of 600 PCPs disclosed that 58% had no service provider tip system ⁽¹³⁾.

It seems that the personal involvement of the PCPs produces far better outcomes. In a study in Australia, letters asking for involvement in CRC screening programs resulted in better results if they were accompanied by the individual participation of PCPs ⁽¹⁴⁾. Another research study revealed that individuals who obtained the FOBT set from their PCPs were more likely to take part in the research ⁽¹⁵⁾. Patient triggering of their medical professional caused a considerable increase in referral in CRC testing in both insured as well as underinsured patient populaces ⁽¹⁶⁾.

The function of PCPs is better stressed in researches showing that the presentation of individualized CRC risk details by a non-physician aide as a decision aid did not cause greater CRC testing prices in medical care patients compared to the discussion of general CRC screening info ⁽¹⁷⁾. An interactive training seminar raised the percentage of doctors with the objective to suggest FIT and colonoscopy in equal percentages ⁽¹⁸⁾. Asking patients concerns about their particular danger elements as well as supplying them as well as their service providers info prior to a visit may raise participation in CRC screening ⁽¹⁹⁾.

In Asia, CRC testing compliance is fairly reduced, most likely since the expertise of CRC symptoms and risk aspects is reduced. An interesting research discovered that regarded wellness, emotional, and access barriers to CRC screening in Asian nations are high. It is of passion that the doctor's suggestion may raise testing. Nonetheless, medical professionals generally advise testing only in individuals with a favorable family history for CRC ⁽²⁰⁾.

A review from Mauri et alia reported that, in various other European nations such as France as well as Italy, CRC testing was recommended by 65% - 95% of physicians; FOBt was advised by 42% - 83% as well as prescription of evaluating endoscopic methods was irregular (6% - 48%) ⁽¹⁴⁾. Based on these information, it has actually been suggested that, in contrast with European technique, CRC screening behaviors people doctors are to a better extent rational, evidence-based, well kept track of, as well as have a longer custom in healthcare, hence permitting much better prevention services for asymptomatic people. It is most likely that those distinctions explain the searching's for of a recent research that revealed that patients diagnosed at later stages (Dukes' D and sophisticated stage) were less typical in the United States than in the four European areas (specifically in eastern as well as southerly European nations) ⁽¹⁵⁾.

Most of patients with CRC look for medical advice from PCPs in cases where relevant symptoms are present. It is, for that reason, critical to examine the most crucial signs and symptoms (modification in bowel practice, anal bleeding, anemia, etc) that might lead the PCP to properly identify the underlying CRC. In a research in Italy, it was discovered that only two elements were dramatically related with the presence of CRC; particularly, an age more than 50 years as well as

Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (30-37), Month: October 2017 – March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

iron-deficiency anemia ⁽¹⁸⁾. The findings of an organized evaluation suggest that examination of rectal blood loss or anemia in health care patients is called for, irrespective of whether various other signs exist. The threats from various other solitary symptoms are lower, though multiple signs also require examination ⁽¹⁹⁾.

Colonoscopy stays the gold criterion for the examination as well as management of digestive tract pathology. Because of the extremely reduced number of endoscopists, it is impossible even for even more developed health and wellness systems to pass a CRC screening program with colonoscopy. PCPs could play a crucial duty in loading this lack by using screening colonoscopy in their practice. It is noticeable that the requirement for accomplishment of this task appropriates training of PCPs an objective that harbors numerous problems in the majority of nations ⁽²⁰⁾.

• Gastric cancer, peptic ulcer, and H. pylori

Gastric cancer (GC) represents a serious health issue on a worldwide scale. It is the 2nd leading root cause of cancer-related death worldwide ⁽²¹⁾. Eastern Asia, Eastern Europe, as well as South America are significant native to the island locations with a high occurrence of stomach cancer. In the United States, gastric cancer cells is reasonably unusual, with 21600 new cases and 10990 cancer fatalities occurring in 2013 ⁽²²⁾. In between 2002 and 2008 the 5-year family member survival rate was only 27% inning accordance with the SEER data source ⁽²³⁾.

A brand-new technique using synchronised dimension of lotion pepsinogens and also Helicobacter pylori antibody combined with elimination of H. pylori in all individuals at risk has actually been proposed ⁽²⁴⁾. No nationwide testing of GC has actually been reported in the US, Europe, as well as other areas with a reduced GC occurrence; it appears that fostering of GC screening in modest- to high-risk population subgroups constitutes a cost-effective and also feasible approach ⁽²⁵⁾. Thus, the recognition of subjects with high threat aspects (family history of GC, smoking cigarettes, alcohol abuse, previous belly surgical procedure, H. pylori infection, salted and smoked food consumption) by PCPs should belong to their regular professional technique.

Guidelines advise that upper as well as lower GI examinations ought to be taken into consideration in all postmenopausal lady as well as all male patients with iron-deficient anemia, GI examinations seem to be done suboptimally by PCPs ⁽²⁶⁾. In a research study in the UK, it was seen that 47% of 431 patients offering to their general practitioner with an iron-deficient anemia were appropriately handled and 39% of patients that were or else fit for investigation had no examinations at all. It deserves discovering that just 29 of the 41 GI cancers were discovered as a result of sufficient GI investigations ⁽²⁷⁾. A comparable research from the Netherlands revealed that only 31% of man and postmenopausal women patients got some type of endoscopic analysis ⁽²⁸⁾.

During the management of dubious instances for upper GI hatred, PCPs have to bear in mind that therapy of dyspeptic signs and symptoms with acid reductions therapy prior to gastroscopy masks as well as hold-ups the detection of gastric as well as esophageal adenocarcinoma on endoscopy (29,30). There is endoscopic proof revealing that early hatred within the stomach mucosa may be recovered with acid reductions therapy, particularly proton pump preventions (PPIs). Therefore, PCPs must not quickly suggest PPIs before endoscopy, especially in patients older than 45 years (31).

Experience from countries with a much more developed health system has actually revealed that open-access gastroscopy performed by general practitioners at health care university hospital is effective as well as, as a result, the subsequent GI consultations end up being much less frequent as well as the level of compliance with endoscopist referrals ends up being higher. A relevant study from Finland showed that no considerable difference was spotted in the end result of patients detected in primary care facilities by general practitioner endoscopists contrasted to in hospital outpatient facility by professionals (32).

Taking into consideration this proof, in regions where the occurrence of H. pylori infection is > 20%, present management approaches stress testing and also treatment for H. pylori in the preliminary management of patients providing with dyspeptic signs and symptoms ⁽³³⁾. If the occurrence of H. pylori infection in a details population is reduced, it makes sense to utilize an acid reductions technique. Peptic abscess disease has decreased in Western countries, certain populations such as immigrants and also rural neighborhoods could have a high frequency of infection as well as peptic abscess illness that requires to be considered in dyspepsia management, also in areas where the frequency of H. pylori infection has actually declined to listed below 15% ⁽³⁴⁾. In circumstances of altering public health of dyspepsia and also underlying disease, it is essential for PCPs to have the expertise of approximate prevalence of H. pylori infection in their respective communities. In patients that are symptomatic without a natural pathology, practical dyspepsia and also other root causes of stomach pain need to be considered. Functional dyspepsia is best managed making use of a complex strategy by developing a great physician-patient partnership, dietary as well as way of life interventions, acid reductions treatment, psychiatric therapy, as well as using psychotropic medicines ⁽³⁵⁾.

Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (30-37), Month: October 2017 – March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Especially proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) constitute the mainstay treatment for top GI problems as well as are just one of one of the most often suggested classes of drugs worldwide. Absolute indications include dyspepsia, peptic ulcer illness, therapy of H. pylori, chronic nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory-drug (NSAID) usage, and also GERD ⁽³⁶⁾. Regardless of proper standards, there is growing issue about the rapid rise as well as unsuitable prescription of PPI therapy in primary care ^(37,38). It was observed that a significant proportion (36% - 54%) of patients taking PPIs were recommended PPIs for an indication outside those proposed in current standards. This postures financial and safety and security concerns, specifically due to the suggestion that these drugs might postpone the diagnosis of GC.

The rationale for use PPIs for gastroprotection comprises a crucial issue in routine practice of PCPs. The international guidelines suggest using gastroprotective treatment (with PPIs being the preferred agents) for at-risk patients taking any NSAID; advanced age (> 65 years), a personal history of peptic ulcer, the existence of serious comorbidities, and concomitant therapy with either anticoagulants, corticosteroids, or other NSAIDs have been identified as substantial risk variables for intestinal events during NSAID therapy. Testing for as well as elimination of H. pylori in patients at high risk of NSAID-related intestinal bleeding ought to be thought about, however will certainly want without ongoing gastroprotection (39). A recent study assessing the appropriateness of PCPs, management of gastroprotective treatment in NSAID individuals showed an unsatisfactory 66% rate of unsuitable (overuse/underuse) indication, recommending that approximately only one from every 3 NSAID customers could be expected to leave the PCP office with the suitable management (40,41). Information on H. pylori-infection management showed that the H. pylori infection standing was investigated in 16% of patients getting chronic NSAID therapy, as well as it was eventually cured in 73% of the contaminated cases (40,41).

• Gastroesophageal reflux disease:

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a highly widespread problem defined as symptoms or problems resulting from the reflux of stomach materials into the esophagus, or beyond into the mouth (including throat) or lung. Epidemiological proof indicates that the prevalence of GERD in the Western world is 10% - 20%, with a lower frequency in Asia ⁽⁴²⁾. GERD represents the fourth most usual chronic problem after hyperlipidemia, clinical depression, as well as high blood pressure seen in medical care method ⁽⁴³⁾. Due to its high occurrence, care of patients with GERD is greatly within the domain name of PCPs. The early released along with upgraded GERD standards promote history taking as the most valuable technique of diagnosis ^(42,44). The signs of heartburn and regurgitation are the most trusted for making a presumptive medical diagnosis based on history alone; nevertheless, these symptoms are not as sensitive as most think. It is additionally recommended that empiric PPI therapy (consisting of way of living alteration) is a practical method to confirm GERD when it is suspected in patients with typical symptoms. Patients with GERD could provide with a broad range of bothersome signs and symptoms, past heartburn as well as regurgitation, which can overlap with other GI illness (such as dyspepsia) and also may consist of chest pain or extraesophageal indications, such as chronic cough as well as asthma. Caution is required for patients with upper body discomfort; a cardiac reason ought to be excluded prior to the beginning of a GI analysis ⁽⁴⁴⁾.

Despite the frequency and also effect of GERD, together with the schedule of effective treatments on prescription, a significant variety of subjects with signs and symptoms suggestive of GERD have inadequate condition management. Jones et al. (45) based on data from a multinational survey, reported that 78% of identified subjects were currently receiving medication suggested by their medical professional, and also 65% were taking over-the-counter treatments. Despite medication, 58% of detected and 73% of undiagnosed subjects still experienced GERD signs a few of the moment. Furthermore, about one third of topics reported that they ate less than usual, felt usually unwell, were tired/worn out, or were worried/fearful for the majority of the moment due to their GERD signs, and around half reported decreased health, including reduced work or leisure time performance. A current Pan-European research study showed that 30% - 100% of patients were prescribed a PPI, yet a significant GERD-symptom load was still experienced by 15% - 30% of patients at follow-up (46).

Numerous research studies have actually shown that there is often poor agreement in between patients as well as doctors in their evaluation of GERD signs and symptom extent, with doctors tending to ignore sign seriousness and the influence on health-related quality of life, which is an important component of offering proper treatment ^(47,48). As the duty for PCPs in the management of GERD continues to expand as well as advance, there is a huge challenge for PCPs to improve clinical end results as well as patient fulfillment by enhancing doctor-- patient partnerships. A much better understanding of each patient's experience of the condition will aid PCPs to value that even moderate signs of GERD can be problematic and also can be connected with a professional decrease in patient health. As a result, a requirement exists for improved doubting during consultation as well as even more effective communication to aid in eliciting the most appropriate info

Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (30-37), Month: October 2017 – March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

from patients. It is recommended that this procedure can be enhanced by the use relevant patient-reported management and also result instruments, which can help with patient communication and also help doctors recognize as well as please the requirements of patients with GERD ^(49,50). PCPs must also take into consideration that problems related to dosing and treatment adherence (conformity) may be included when an incomplete response to PPI therapy is apparent as well as health continues to suffer. When the doctor has actually validated that GERD signs and symptoms are still existing during PPI therapy, the difficulty will certainly be to identify whether treatment is being taken as prescribed. The importance of treatment adherence and also ingestion of PPI treatment prior to a dish ought to be stressed out ⁽⁵⁰⁾.

• Management of Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in primary care:

Here we show that despite IBD being a worldwide chronic problem with boosting occurrence ⁽⁵¹⁾, there is a near full absence in the literary works of tools to assist primary care doctors in providing appropriate, evidence-based care. This remains in comparison to the scenario for other chronic problems such as asthma, DM and CCF (conditions with high frequency rates ^(52,53), where such tools are readily discovered and in addition, a minimum of for asthma, action strategies are additionally easily uncovered.

Early diagnosis of IBD represents an important aspect related to desirable reaction to treatment. Because precise diagnosis of ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease (CD) is mainly based on endoscopic as well as histological examinations, which are normally done by GEs, PCPs ought to primarily concentrate on the details of the professional picture. Diarrhea is the primary symptom in both clinical scenarios; the diagnosis should be considered as feasible in any patient offering with looseness of the bowels that continues for more than 2 weeks. Diarrhea, depending upon the place as well as level of condition, could be accompanied by other stomach or systemic signs, the precise evaluation which will significantly assist in the differential medical diagnosis from various other scenarios such as infectious colitis, gastric disease, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), diverticular illness, and so on. Aside from diarrhea, the features of stomach pain should be thoroughly examined as this signs and symptom often goes along with the beginning of IBD. Specifically, in ulcerative colitis, pain is generally light and also physical exam typically exposes inflammation in the left iliac fossa in the suprapubic area (54,55). In CD, discomfort is generally situated in the right lower abdominal areas with or without an accompanied palpable mass-a searching for that could be difficult to be set apart from an assault of intense appendicitis. Apart from the usual place of pain, discomfort is diffused in other situations and also could be accompanied by bloating, abdominal distension, as well as queasiness or vomiting. In these cases, the existence of blood in the stool might considerably add to the initiative to omit the medical diagnosis of IBS, which could in some cases appear with a comparable scientific picture (54,55).

Dealing correctly with patients with IBD and achieving the very best possible lifestyle calls for a multidisciplinary strategy with lots of key players, entailing PCPs, GEs, specialists, radiologists, pathologists, dietitians, rheumatologists, and also psycho therapists. Only by taking a long-term technique in therapy choices, supplying a patient-centered multidisciplinary method, and taking on a chronic disease pathway to management will an optimum overview for the vast majority of patients with IBD be achieved (56). The bulk of patients with IBD are given follow-up in a specialized gastroenterology division of a hospital, as well as only a small proportion of them are referred to PCPs for medical care (57). This results in substantial drawbacks such as difficult accessibility, enhanced expenses, and unnecessary participation of the specialist, mainly as a result of the absence of appropriate information from patients and their household. These weaknesses can be overcome with the energetic involvement of the PCP, that will contribute significantly to the constant, collaborated, and all natural healthcare for patients with IBD. This is sustained by available information showing that contentment with the primary medical professional did not rely on physician type (58,59).

4. CONCLUSION

It is well known that GI conditions prevail as a whole practice, as well as the PCP has a central function in the medical diagnosis and also the management of those issues in the primary care setting. Although nationwide as well as worldwide proper evidence-based criteria are available, a significant variety of PCPs does not consistently follow them. This evaluation shows a wide range of understanding and application of guidelines worrying GI disorders amongst PCPs worldwide. Management of GERD could include a way of living modification, clinical therapy and also medical treatment. The way of life adjustments including fat burning and/or head of a bed altitude have been revealed to improve esophageal pH and/or GERD signs. IBD is a challenging illness, as well as very early diagnosis, represents a real difficulty for PCPs. Tracking patients with IBD is also an important function thought by PCPs. In order to react appropriately to these difficulties, PCPs are invited to show their analytical skills in the very early discovery of regressions, their ability to supply constant sustaining therapies, as well as their ability to collaborate patient care as given by a multidisciplinary group.

Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (30-37), Month: October 2017 – March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

REFERENCES

- [1] Proctor DD. Chapter 134-approach to the patient with gastrointestinal disease. In: Goldman L, Ausiello D, editors. Cecil medicine. 23rd edition. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 2008
- [2] Talley NJ. Chapter 139 functional gastrointestinal disorders: irritable bowel syndrome, dyspepsia, and noncardiac chest pain. In: Goldman L, Ausiello D, editors. Cecil medicine. 23rd edition. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 2008
- [3] Heizer WD, Southern S, McGovern S. The role of diet in symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome in adults: a narrative review. J Am Diet Assoc 2009;109: 1204–14.
- [4] Jones RH. Primary care research and clinical practice: gastroenterology. Postgrad Med J. 2008;84:454–458.
- [5] Fletcher RH. Successful colorectal cancer screening starts with primary care. Rev Gastrointerol Disord. 2002;2 (Suppl 1):S27–S34.
- [6] Flook NW. Management of gastrointestinal disease: Returning it to primary care. Can Fam Physician. 2004;50:685–686.
- [7] Rex DK, Johnson DA, Anderson JC, Schoenfeld PS, Burke CA, Inadomi JM; American College of Gastroenterology. American College of Gastroenterology guidelines for colorectal cancer screening 2009 [corrected]. Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104:739–50.
- [8] Qaseem A, Denberg TD, Hopkins RH Jr., et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: a guidance statement from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2012;156:378–86.
- [9] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Use of colorectal cancer tests—United States, 2002, 2004, and 2006. MMWR Morbid Mortal Wkly Rep 2008;57:253–8.
- [10] Patel P, Forjuoh SN, Avots-Avotins A, Patel T. Identifying opportunities for improved colorectal cancer screening in primary care. Prev Med 2004;39:239–46.
- [11] Taylor V, Lessler D, Mertens K, et al. Colorectal cancer screening among African Americans: the importance of physician recommendation. J Natl Med Assoc 2003;95:806–12.
- [12] Holden DJ, Jonas DE, Porterfield DS, Reuland D, Harris R. Systematic review: enhancing the use and quality of colorectal cancer screening. Ann Intern Med 2010;152:668–76.
- [13] Taylor ML, Anderson R. Colorectal cancer screening: physician attitudes and practices. WMJ 2002;101:39-43.
- [14] Cole SR, Young GP, Byrne D, Guy JR, Morsom J. Participation in screening for colorectal cancer based on fecal occult blood test is improved by endorsement by the primary care practitioner. J Med Screen 2002; 9:147–152.
- [15] Davis TC, Arnold CL, Rademaker AW, Platt DJ, Esparza J, Liu D, et al. FOBT completion in FQHCs: impact of physician recommendation, FOBT information, or receipt of the FOBT kit. J Rural Health 2012; 28:306–311.
- [16] Le V, Syed S, Vega KJ, Sharma T, Madhoun MF, Srinivasan N, et al. Patient prompting of their physician resulted in increased colon cancer screening referrals. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2014; 6:257–262.
- [17] Wilkins T, Gillies RA, Panchal P, Patel M, Warren P, Schade RR. Colorectal cancer risk information presented by a nonphysician assistant does not increase screening rates. Can Fam Physician 2014; 60:731–738.
- [18] Selby K, Cornuz J, Gachoud D, Bulliard JL, Nichita C, Dorta G, et al. Training primary care physicians to offer their patients faecal occult blood testing and colonoscopy for colorectal cancer screening on an equal basis: a pilot intervention with before-after and parallel group surveys. BMJ Open 2016; 6:e011086.
- [19] Skinner CS, Halm EA, Bishop WP, Ahn C, Gupta S, Farrell D, et al. Impact of risk assessment and tailored versus nontailored risk information on colorectal cancer testing in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2015; 24:1523–1530.
- [20] Sung JJ, Choi SY, Chan FK, Ching JY, Lau JT, Griffiths S. Obstacles to colorectal cancer screening in Chinese: a study based on the health belief model. Am J Gastroenterol2008; 103:974–981.

Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (30-37), Month: October 2017 – March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

- [21] Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2011;61:69–90.
- [22] Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin. 2013;63:11–30.
- [23] Howlader N, Ries LA, Stinchcomb DG, Edwards BK. The impact of underreported Veterans Affairs data on national cancer statistics: analysis using population-based SEER registries. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2009;101:533–536.
- [24] Asaka M, Kato M, Graham DY. Strategy for eliminating gastric cancer in Japan. Helicobacter. 2010;15:486–490.
- [25] Dan YY, So JB, Yeoh KG. Endoscopic screening for gastric cancer. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006;4:709–716.
- [26] Goddard AF, James MW, McIntyre AS, Scott BB, British Society of Gastroenterology Guidelines for the management of iron deficiency anaemia. Gut. 2011;60:1309–1316.
- [27] Logan EC, Yates JM, Stewart RM, Fielding K, Kendrick D. Investigation and management of iron deficiency anaemia in general practice: a cluster randomized controlled trial of a simple management prompt. Postgrad Med J. 2002;78:533–537.
- [28] Droogendijk J, Beukers R, Berendes PB, Tax MG, Sonneveld P, Levin MD. Screening for gastrointestinal malignancy in patients with iron deficiency anemia by general practitioners: an observational study. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2011;46:1105–1110.
- [29] Bramble MG, Suvacovic Z, Hungin AP. Detection of upper gastrointestinal cancer in patients taking antisecretory therapy prior to gastroscopy. Gut. 2000;46:464–467.
- [30] Panter SJ, O'Flanagan H, Bramble MG, Hungin AP. Empirical use of antisecretory drug therapy delays diagnosis of upper gastrointestinal adenocarcinoma but does not affect outcome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2004;19:981–988.
- [31] Wayman J, Hayes N, Raimes SA, Griffin SM. Prescription of proton pump inhibitors before endoscopy. A potential cause of missed diagnosis of early gastric cancers. Arch Fam Med. 2000;9:385–388.
- [32] Voutilaimen M, Kunnamo I. A survey of open-access endoscopy in primary health care centers: outcome of gastric carcinoma patients diagnosed by general practitioners compared with hospital-referred endoscopy. Dig Liver Dis. 2005;37:119–123.
- [33] Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, et al. The European Helicobacter Study Group (EHSG). Management of Helicobacter pylori infection the Maastricht IV/Florence consensus report. Gut. 2012;61(5):646–664.
- [34] Vakil N. Dyspepsia, peptic ulcer, and H. pylori: a remembrance of things past. Am J Gastroenterol. 2010;105:572–574.
- [35] Wee EW. Evidence-based approach to dyspepsia: from Helicobacter pylori to functional disease. Postgrad Med. 2013;125:169–180.
- [36] Chubineh S, Birk J. Proton pump inhibitors: the good, the bad, and the unwanted. South Med J. 2012;105:613-618.
- [37] Patterson Burdsall D, Flores HC, Krueger J, et al. Use of proton pump inhibitors with lack of diagnostic indications in 22 Midwestern US skilled nursing facilities. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2013;14:429–432.
- [38] Naunton M, Peterson GM, Bleasel MD. Overuse of proton pump inhibitors. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2000;25:333–340.
- [39] Rostom A, Moayyedi P, Hunt R, Canadian Association of Gastroenterology Consensus Group Canadian consensus guidelines on long-term nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy and the need for gastroprotection: benefits versus risks. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2009;29:481–496.
- [40] Morini S, Zullo A, Oliveti D, et al. A very high rate of inappropriate use of gastroprotection for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy in primary care: a cross-sectional study. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2011;45:780–784.
- [41] Zullo A, Hassan C, Oliveti D, et al. Helicobacter pylori management in non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy patients in primary care. Intern Emerg Med. 2012;7:331–335.
- [42] Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013;108:308–328.

Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (30-37), Month: October 2017 – March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

- [43] Ornstein SM, Nietert PJ, Jenkins RG, Litvin CB. The prevalence of chronic diseases and multimorbidity in primary care practice: a PPRNet report. J Am Board Fam Med. 2013;26:518–524.
- [44] DeVault KR, Castell DO. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Arch Intern Med. 1995;15:2165–2173.
- [45] Jones R, Liker HR, Ducrotté P. Relationship between symptoms, subjective well-being and medication use in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Int J Clin Pract. 2007;61:1301–1307.
- [46] Gisbert JP, Cooper A, Karagiannis D, et al. Management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in primary care: a European observational study. Curr Med Res Opin. 2009;25:2777–2784.
- [47] Fallone CA, Guyatt GH, Armstrong D, et al. Do physicians correctly assess patient symptom severity in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease? Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2004;20:1161–1169.
- [48] McColl E, Junghard O, Wiklund I, Revicki DA. Assessing symptoms in gastroesophageal reflux disease: how well do clinicians' assessments agree with those of their patients? Am J Gastroenterol. 2005;100:11–18.
- [49] Flook NW, Wiklund I. Accounting for the effect of GERD symptoms on patients' health-related quality of life: supporting optimal disease management by primary care physicians. Int J Clin Pract. 2007;61:2071–2078.
- [50] Ponce J, Garrigues V, Agréus L, et al. Structured management strategy based on the Gastro-oesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) Questionnaire (GerdQ) vs usual primary care for GERD: pooled analysis of five cluster-randomised European studies. Int J Clin Pract. 2012;66:897–905.
- [51] Burisch J, Pedersen N, Čuković-Čavka S, Brinar M, Kaimakliotis I, Duricova D, Shonová O, Vind I, Avnstrøm S, Thorsgaard N, et al. East-West gradient in the incidence of inflammatory bowel disease in Europe: the ECCO-EpiCom inception cohort. Gut. 2014;63:588–597.
- [52] Vegh Z AIHW. Diabetes prevalence in Australia: detailed estimates for 2007-08. Canberra: AIHW. Available from: http://www.aihw.gov.au/publication-detail/?id=10737419311.
- [53] Mirzaei M, Yen L, Leeder S. Epidemiology of chronic heart failure. The serious and continuing illness policy and practice study (SCIPPS). The Menzies Centre for health policy; 2007. Available from: http://sydney.edu.au/medicine/public-health/menzies-health-policy/research/chfreview.pdf.
- [54] Gikas A. IBD and Primary Care Physician. In: Triantafillidis JK, Stanciu C, editors. Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Pathogenesis, Clinical Manifestations, Diagnosis, Treatment. Athens: Technogramma Publications; 2012. pp. 659–666.
- [55] Stotland BR, Stein RB, Lichtenstein GR. Advances in inflammatory bowel disease. Med Clin North Am. 2000; 84:1107–1124.
- [56] Morrison G, Headon B, Gibson P. Update in inflammatory bowel disease. Aust Fam Physician. 2009;38:956–961.
- [57] Casellas F, Fontanet G, Borruel N, Malagelada JR. The opinion of patients with inflammatory bowel disease on healthcare received. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. 2004;96:174–184.
- [58] Hilsden RJ, Verhoef MJ, Best A, Pocobelli G. A national survey on the patterns of treatment of inflammatory bowel disease in Canada. BMC Gastroenterol. 2003;3:10.
- [59] Sossai P, Filippetti F, Muselmani AA, Catalini G. Inflammatory bowel diseases and the general practitioner's role in a region of Central Italy. Clin Exp Gastroenterol. 2010;3:27–31.